```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
2
                     DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3
      THE HONORABLE JAMES C. MAHAN, JUDGE PRESIDING
4
5
6
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
7
           Plaintiff,
8
                              NO. 2:10-CR-0319-JCM-PAL
    vs.
9
    PARKER ENLOE,
                                      SENTENCING
10
           Defendant.
11
12
13
           REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14
                 FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2013
15
                         10:30 A.M.
16
17
18
    APPEARANCES:
    For the Plaintiff: DANIEL SCHIESS, AUSA
19
    For the Defendant: ROBERT DRASKOVICH, ESQ.
20
21
    For Probation Dept.: BRIDGER FRANZEN, USPO
22
23
24
25
    Reported by: Joy Garner, CCR 275
                   Official Federal Court Reporter
                    —JOY GARNER, CCR 275—
                LAS VEGAS, NEVADA (702)384-3188
```

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com

```
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2013
1
2.
                         10:30 A.M.
3
4
                        PROCEEDINGS
5
              THE CLERK: This is the time set for
6
7
    the imposition of sentencing of Parker Enloe,
8
    Criminal Case Number 2:10-CR-0319-JCM-PAL, United
9
    States of America versus Parker Enloe.
10
                      Counsel, please note your
11
    appearances for the record.
12
              THE COURT: Mr. Schiess.
13
              MR. SCHIESS: Daniel Schiess for the
14
    United States.
15
              MR. DRASKOVICH: Good morning, I'm here
16
    on behalf of Mr. Enloe who is present.
17
              THE COURT: All right, is everybody
18
    ready to proceed this morning?
19
              MR. DRASKOVICH: Yes.
20
              MR. SCHIESS: Yes, your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: All right, Mr. Draskovich,
22
    let me ask you and Mr. Enloe to approach the
23
    lectern, please. Now should this be sealed?
24
              MR. SCHIESS: No, your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: All right. Any reason why
                     -JOY GARNER, CCR 275 —
```

```
1
    sentence should not be imposed at this time?
2
              MR. DRASKOVICH:
                                No.
3
              THE COURT: All right, Mr. Enloe, this
4
    is the time set for imposition of sentence upon
5
    you in Case Number 10-CR-319.
                      On July 16, 2010, you appeared
6
7
    before the Court and entered a plea of guilty to
8
    conspiracy to commit wire fraud, a violation of
9
    18 USC, Section 1349, and at that time you also
    agreed to the forfeiture of the following:
10
11
    $10,000 in United States Currency to the United
12
    States of America pursuant to Federal Rule of
13
    Criminal Procedure 32.2, Subsection (b)(1) and
14
    (b)(2); 18 USC, Section 981, Subsection
15
    (a)(1)(c); 28 USC, Section 2461, Subsection (c);
16
    18 USC, Section 982, Subsection (a)(2)(A); and 21
17
    USC, Section 853.
18
                      Having reviewed the presentence
19
    report and plea agreement, the Court hereby
20
    accepts your guilty plea and adjudicates you
21
    guilty of the charge. Now, the defendant filed
22
    with the Court and Probation Department
23
    objections to the presentence report. Probation
24
    filed an addendum to the presentence report on
25
    November 5, 2012. Before we discuss those
```

4

```
written objections, do you have any other
1
2
    objections, Mr. Draskovich?
3
              MR. DRASKOVICH: No.
4
              THE COURT: Mr. Enloe, did you read the
5
    presentence report?
6
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
7
              THE COURT: Did you discuss it with
8
    your attorney?
9
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
10
              THE COURT: Did you find any errors or
11
    discrepancies other than he may have pointed out
12
    to me?
13
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
14
              THE COURT: All right. Now let's hear
15
    your argument on the written objections?
16
              MR. DRASKOVICH:
                               If I could just submit
17
    it on the written objections, they were fairly
18
    minor and I did file with the Court a sentencing
19
    memorandum at the beginning of this week and it
20
    hinted on devoting a great deal of time and
21
    perhaps the Court's attention to the issues that
22
    have just recently arisen.
23
              THE COURT: All right. Let me just
24
    review these. (Reading.)
25
                      Frankly, I'm inclined to deny
                     -JOY GARNER, CCR 275-
```

```
the first objection because the information there
1
2
    was extracted apparently from the charging
3
    document, is that correct, Mr. Franzen?
4
              PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, this
5
    case has gone back and forth and Officer Nagazino
    (phonetic) now retired had actually did this
6
7
    investigation and then I've since gotten in the
8
    investigation and she answered and responded to
9
    those objections when she was still actively on
10
    duty. I believe those are all correct and
    there's no reason for me to think otherwise.
11
12
              THE COURT: All right, and then the
13
    second one, of course, the offense was a
14
    conspiracy and, you know, they're liable for
15
    everybody else's actions. The third --
16
    (Reading.) Now, probation says this appears to
17
    conflict with the facts contained in the plea
18
    agreement. So I'm inclined to deny that on that
19
    basis unless you have anything to add, Mr.
20
    Draskovich.
21
              MR. DRASKOVICH:
                               No, I don't.
22
              THE COURT: All right. The Probation
23
    Department calculated -- I'm inclined to deny all
24
    of those written objections then for the record,
25
    Mr. Franzen.
```

```
1
              PROBATION OFFICER: Thank you, your
2
    Honor.
3
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
4
                      Okay, the Probation Department
5
    calculated the base offense level to be seven.
    Fourteen levels were added because of the loss
6
7
    amount was at least $400,000, but not in excess
8
    of $1 million pursuant to Sentencing Guideline
9
    Section 2B1.1, Subsection (b)(1)(h), which makes
10
    an adjusted offense level of twenty-one.
11
                      Mr. Schiess?
12
              MR. SCHIESS: Your Honor, while you
13
    were denying those, I had a chance to go back and
14
    read them again. I submitted to the Court my
15
    objections to the sentencing memorandum, but with
16
    respect to the specific facts of a long-term
17
    conspiracy here between a number of players that
18
    started in '07 to '09, Mr. Enloe joined that
19
    conspiracy probably in early '09. He was only in
20
    it for about a three- or four-month period.
                                                  So
21
    while the conspiracy was larger and --
22
              THE COURT: -- his involvement was
23
    smaller.
24
              MR. SCHIESS: Yeah, the PSR is correct
25
    and the conspiracy was '07 to '09, his
```

```
participation, and it was only for about four
1
2
    months which is consistent with his objection
    number one, and would also be in part consistent
3
4
    with his objection number three, and then there's
5
    just some detail of who he actually loaned the
    money to and who he didn't and minor, but I need
6
7
    the Court to know that in terms of the timing of
8
    it.
9
              THE COURT: All right, I understand
10
           I mean if you want I can say just add a
11
    sentence that although all conspirators are
12
    liable for the actions of all other conspirators,
13
    his involvement was only from -- when was his
14
    actual involvement?
15
              MR. SCHIESS: I would just -- I don't
    know the beginning date, but it would be for a
16
17
    three- or four-month period or maybe a two-month
18
    period in early '09.
19
              THE COURT: Okay, but his involvement
20
    was only in -- just add that if you would, Mr.
21
    Franzen, although a conspirator is liable for all
22
    acts of all other conspirators, Mr. Enloe's
23
    actual involvement was only in the months of
24
    2009.
25
              PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, if I
```

```
1
    may suggest that we add that into paragraph 8 on
    page 6 at the beginning where it talks about Mr.
2
    Enloe's involvement.
3
4
              THE COURT: That's fine.
5
                      All right, the Probation
    Department calculated the base offense level to
6
7
    be seven. Fourteen levels were added because the
8
    loss amount, as I said, was at least $400,000 but
    not in excess of $1 million which makes an
9
10
    adjusted offense level of twenty-one. A
11
    three-level reduction for acceptance of
12
    responsibility was applied resulting in a total
13
    offense level of eighteen. The total criminal
14
    history points are zero resulting in a criminal
15
    history category of Roman numeral I.
16
                      The maximum statutory term of
17
    imprisonment is thirty years. The maximum
18
    statutory fine is $1 million, and a special
19
    assessment of $100 per Count is mandatory.
                                                 Based
20
    on a total offense level of eighteen and a
21
    criminal history category of Roman numeral I, the
22
    guideline range is 27 to 33 months with a
23
    supervised release term of three to five years
24
    and the guideline fine range was $6,000 to $1
25
    million.
```

```
1
                      And then on October 24, 2012,
2
    the government filed a sealed motion -- was that
3
    under seal?
4
              MR. SCHIESS: Yes, your Honor.
                                                It no
5
    longer needs to be under seal.
                           So it will be unsealed
6
              THE COURT:
7
    then, a motion seeking a four-level downward
8
    departure for defendant having provided
9
    substantial assistance to the government in the
10
    investigation of other conspirators, or another
11
    person I should say, who had committed an
12
    offense. So the government's motion is based on
13
    Sentencing Guideline Section 5K1.1.
14
                      And the basis for that -- I
15
    don't know -- the basis it's in the motion, but
16
    applying that downward departure, the total
17
    offense level then would be fourteen with a
18
    criminal history category of Roman numeral I and
19
    a guideline range of fifteen to twenty-one
20
    months. On January 15, 2013, the defendant filed
21
    a sentencing memorandum with the court requesting
22
    a downward adjustment, if you will, from the
23
    guideline range under the factors set forth in 18
24
    USC, Section 3553(a), requesting a term of
25
    probation.
```

```
1
                      All right, Mr. Schiess, any
2
    additional comments before I impose sentence?
3
              MR. SCHIESS: Your Honor, the comments
4
    I make are set forth in the sentencing
5
    memorandum. I do want to point out, though, that
    in terms of the culpability of many of the other
6
7
    players in this case, Mr. Enloe's culpability is
8
    less because his involvement was much less. His
9
    role was simply loaning the down payment. He was
10
    in the business of investing money for his group
11
    of investors and he was to make a fee off of his
12
    investments for them.
13
                      His role in this particular
14
    conspiracy was limited to four properties.
15
    four properties, though, went into foreclosure.
16
    They were each fraud. Lenders suffered between
17
    $400,000 and $1 million, closer to $1 million of
18
    loss given the actions of the other people, and
19
    so while his culpability is less than others as
20
    to these four transactions, they were his actions
21
    and an integral part.
22
                      We have, in negotiating a plea
23
    agreement, we recommended to the Court that
24
    the -- and negotiated a plea in which we
25
    recommended to the Court that there was a
```

```
sentencing range based on the loss calculation
1
2
    would be between $400,000 and $1 million for a
    loss calculation, and that reflects the loss
3
4
    range for properties, but he was also involved in
5
    another scheme that involved more money which
    would have taken it up to the next bracket of
6
7
    loss, but we didn't count that.
8
                      Now, with respect to the
9
    objections that Mr. Enloe is making for the
10
    cooperation and to point out his cooperation, our
    recommendation for four levels is a fair
11
12
    recommendation because he did not testify,
13
    although that was our choice. He came down
14
    prepared to testify and met with us and he met
15
    with other law enforcement agencies.
                                           So I feel
16
    like we have given him the benefit of the next
17
    level up for having testified by pointing him out
18
    to the four levels.
                      The final issue that he's
19
20
    objecting to and wants to address to the Court is
21
    the matter of his children. I understand from
22
    talking with Mr. Draskovich and then with Mr.
23
    Enloe's civil attorney, Mr. Michael Van, that
24
    there are extenuating circumstances. What I
25
    would like to do is to hear their position to the
```

```
Court on that.
1
2
                      I'd point out to the Court that
    at this point in time their motions I don't
3
4
    believe provide substantial information which
5
    they certainly have the opportunity to supplement
              Based upon that, those would be our
6
7
    recommendations to stay within the range unless
8
    there's an extenuating circumstance the Court
9
    finds --
              THE COURT: All right, and after we've
10
11
    heard that, I'll give you a chance to respond
12
    finally.
13
                             With respect to the
              MR. SCHIESS:
14
    restitution, I can save that argument for later.
15
    There's some points I need to raise to the Court.
16
    I'm going to ask the Court to defer ruling on
17
    that until I have the time to continue to collect
18
    more information. The four lenders which we
19
    identified as being the victim lenders are
    Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. We have been, shall
20
21
    we say, working with a lot of effort to get
22
    information from Fannie Mae, and they've been
23
    cooperative.
24
              THE COURT: Lots of luck.
25
              MR. SCHIESS: Well, they've been very
                     -JOY GARNER, CCR 275-
```

```
1
    cooperative and they've been helpful, but the
2
    information they give me, you almost need to
    understand Latin or Greek to be able to follow
3
4
    the maze, and they've been trying to straighten
5
    it out. So I need to go back and subpoena more
    information from other entities to be able to
6
7
    make it very accurate of what we should do.
8
              THE COURT: All right, okay, and I do
9
    want it to be accurate.
10
              MR. SCHIESS: But we're prepared on
11
    restitution to go forward on one property, but I
12
    would like the opportunity to address the --
13
              THE COURT: And it should be complete,
14
    you should have all the properties.
15
                             So if we can set some
              MR. SCHIESS:
16
    sort of hearing for sometime later while I
17
    continue to drag more information out of the dark
18
    caves.
19
              MR. DRASKOVICH: And we have no
20
    objection to that.
21
              THE COURT: All right then.
22
                      Mr. Enloe, would you like to
23
    address the Court, would you like to have Mr.
24
    Draskovich speak on your behalf? Or both of you
25
    may speak, it's your option.
```

```
1
              THE DEFENDANT: I would just -- I'm
2
    very sorry for what I did.
                                 It's one of the
3
    things I regret and I hate to see anyone lose
4
    money. It's happened to me before when I've been
5
    defrauded and so I apologize and I want to make
    things as right as possible, but I do have four
6
7
    wonderful kids at home and they live with our
8
    personal situations right now. They need me more
    than ever in their lives.
9
10
              MR. DRASKOVICH:
                                There were a number of
    issues that Mr. Schiess had addressed and we take
11
12
    no issue with the four points. As the Court is
13
    well aware, generally that committee comes out
14
    with one point, two points, and in this case we
15
    have four, so we have no objection whatsoever to
    the request for four, and I think that's
16
17
    appropriate under the circumstances.
18
                      What I wanted to address in my
19
    argument is primarily our request for the Court
20
    to depart downwards four points, and our basis
21
    for this request comes under 5H1.6 of the
22
    sentencing guidelines, and I would submit to the
23
    Court that under the circumstances of this case,
```

———JOY GARNER, CCR 275—

just come about in the last few months.

we do have the irreplaceable caretaker which has

24

25

```
1
                      Mr. Parker (sic) has four
2
    children: Camden, who is 9; Owen, who is 7;
3
    Leif, who is 5; and Ayla, who is 2. Four months
4
    ago, their mother left them. She came to a point
5
    in her life in which her life-style changed.
    life-style that she has chosen and will continue
6
7
    to choose it appears is unsuitable for the
8
    raising of children. They live in Utah and in
9
    Utah the Division of Family Services have found
10
    her to be unfit to be a mother and now Parker
11
    Enloe is the sole provider and sole parent of
12
    these three boys and this little girl.
13
                      The cases we had cited in our
14
    brief, the Leon case and the Menyweather case,
15
    dealt with basically these departures for family
16
    responsibilities and where the defendant was the
17
    only person that could provide for the care of a
18
    loved one whether it be an elderly spouse or a
19
    child. Both the Leon case and the Menyweather
20
    case dealt with adults. The Leon case dealt with
21
    an adult who had emotional needs.
22
    Menyweather case dealt with an adult, a spouse,
23
    who was dealing with cancer.
24
                      In this case, I would submit --
25
    and in the Leon case there was a six point
```

```
downward departure. In the Menyweather case
1
2
    there was an eight point departure.
                                          In this case
    we're asking for four points, a lesser departure,
3
4
    however, I would submit to the Court that the
5
    needs of the family members in this case are
    greater than those in the Leon case and the
6
7
    Menyweather case.
8
                      This Court is well aware that
9
    the formative years are from basically one to
10
    sixteen. Here we have a two-year-old, a
11
    five-year-old, a seven-year-old, and a
12
    nine-year-old who have lost their mother.
                                                The
13
    Department of Justice keeps statistics on death
14
    row inmates and they found that the vast majority
15
    of those sitting on death row suffered
16
    abandonment from both mom and dad.
17
                      These little children only had
    one mother and only had one father, and I would
18
19
    submit to the Court that the fact that the mother
20
    has abandoned them has made their father even
21
    more irreplaceable. They only have one parent.
22
              THE COURT:
                           What about the
23
    grandparents?
24
              MR. DRASKOVICH: The grandparents are
25
    in their late 60's. They live -- they don't live
```

```
in Alpine, Utah. Both grandma and grandpa work
1
2
    full-time. Grandma is a teacher, Grandpa works
    as a physical therapist in the school district,
3
4
    and to be quite honest they really don't want to
5
    take care of these kids. They've raised kids,
6
    they've moved on. I can appreciate the Court's
7
    concern and the government's concern regarding,
8
    well, who else can take care of these kids.
9
                     Mr. Parker works full-time and
    he has a live-in nanny who cares for the children
10
11
    approximately eight or nine hours a day Monday
12
    through Friday. His family is not even caring
13
    for his kids right now. In the event that he
14
    were to be incarcerated, the kids very likely
15
    would either go into foster care or be divided
    and having lost a mother, these kids ranging from
16
17
    two to nine really bonded with each other because
18
    they're striving to find some sense of solidity
19
    and continuance in their lives.
20
                     Mr. Van, who is at the table,
21
    worked out this separation and ultimate divorce
22
    between Mr. Parker's soon-to-be ex-wife and Mr.
23
    Parker. He has personal knowledge of the
24
    children, their extended relatives, uncles,
25
    aunts, and grandparents, and he is here today and
```

```
1
    he is in the gallery if the Court could allow me
2.
    to call him.
3
              THE COURT: Sure, come forward, Mr.
4
    Van.
5
              MR. DRASKOVICH: And now Mr. Schiess
6
    said these two gentlemen have known each other
7
    for a long time and Mr. Schiess now is going to
    have an opportunity to cross-examine --
8
9
              THE COURT: You don't need to take the
            I know Mr. Van. Mr. Van is a licensed
10
    stand.
11
    attorney here in Nevada and probably in Utah as
12
    well.
13
              MR. VAN: Yes, your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: And I was an attorney for
15
    25 or 30 years, Mr. Van.
                               So I'll take it --
16
    you're an officer of the court and I'll take your
17
    statement.
18
              MR. VAN: Your Honor, I was retained by
19
    Mr. Enloe with regard to the divorce, and I will
20
    as briefly as I can, about three months ago a
21
    situation came up where Parker's wife, Aria, was
22
    addressed by state agencies as a result of an
23
    inappropriate relationship she was having with a
24
    seventeen-year-old girl. The Department of
25
    Family Services have made it clear that she is
```

```
1
    not a viable option. The only reason that they
2
    are not prosecuting that case right now is the
3
    parents of that seventeen-year-old have younger
4
    children as well as Mr. Enloe's children, and
5
    they are concerned about the ramifications in the
6
    community.
7
                     More importantly -- well,
8
    additionally, that seventeen-year-old just turned
9
    eighteen and has moved in with Aria as a
10
    companion. It's a messy situation. She, Aria,
11
    has no desire to have the children.
                                          I, in
12
    fact -- before the divorce I sat down and said to
13
    her, you understand -- she took $2,000 and moved
14
    out -- and I said, you understand you need to get
15
    separate counsel. I don't want separate counsel.
16
    You understand that you could get more money and
17
    you could get visitation. I don't want it.
18
    don't want anything to do with this.
19
                     One of the struggles that we're
20
    having now is that, for example, last weekend
21
    Parker called me up and said, I don't know what
22
            This was her weekend for some time --
23
    Saturday she was going to spend with the kids, I
24
    was going to leave the house so that she could
25
    stay in the house because she doesn't have
```

```
anywhere to take them, and she showed up and
1
2
    said, I'd rather go to a party with my friends.
3
                      The implications that they have
4
    on their children right now is they've got the
5
    children to feel like mom just doesn't care. Mr.
6
    Enloe has some siblings. One lives in Colorado,
7
    a full-time worker, he works at a hotel situation
8
    so he's a 24/7 quy. He has a sister that lives
9
    in Colorado who married a Czech National who is
10
    moving back to Czechoslovakia.
11
                      He has a sister who lives here
12
    that just had a baby and really doesn't have any
13
    interest in having four kids, and then he has a
14
    brother and the brother and his wife both are --
15
    it's a two-income home in order to support their
16
    four children and addressing that with them is,
17
    can we get any assistance from the state, what
18
    can we do? And I said, no, that's not available.
19
                      And so the only real viable
20
    option is either to allow him to raise his
21
    children or they're going to have to go to foster
22
    care or be separated.
                            The nanny issue is
23
    actually kind of an interesting issue because
24
    it's an extra bedroom, will you watch my kids,
25
    and you can eat all my food that you want
```

```
situation. And when she has called sick and
1
2
    said, I've got to -- I can't take care of your
3
    kids today, I've got to go do something else, he
4
    has to leave work and take days off and do that.
5
                      He is the only one that really
    has taken care of these children, and I know that
6
7
    the oldest boy, nine-years-old, is involved in
8
    sports, and Parker is very, very supportive of
9
    his children. He goes to every event. He's a
10
    minivan dad and I've teased him about it. It's
    very critical that he be allowed the opportunity,
11
12
    there's just no other option.
13
                      And I appreciate that the
14
    Court -- I have the greatest regard for the Court
15
    and respect it and this is an instance where I
16
    would just implore the Court for leniency to
17
    allow him even a house arrest issue. The house
18
    to be home in order to go to work and back,
19
    whatever, but I just -- I can't think of another
20
    option that's available.
21
              THE COURT: Are the children with him
22
          I mean here or in Alpine --
23
              MR. DRASKOVICH:
                              Alpine, Utah.
24
              MR. VAN: The company relocated in
25
    about 2007 from Las Vegas, and so there was just
```

```
some transactions here that were winding up, but
1
2
    they live in Alpine, Utah, yes, sir.
3
              THE COURT: Where is Alpine? I'm not
4
    familiar with Alpine.
5
              MR. VAN: Alpine is north of Provo,
    south of Salt Lake.
6
7
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
8
              MR. VAN: It's kind of at the point of
9
    the mountain just south of the point of the
    mountain not too far. If you draw the
10
11
    schematics, it's across the street and into the
12
    mountains from that Thanksqiving point. Well,
13
    here let me make this reference because it's the
14
    easiest one for me. It's behind Cabela's is my
15
    reference.
16
              THE COURT: All right, and understand
17
    because I hear this -- and Mr. Draskovich and Mr.
18
    Schiess can attest to it -- all the time, you
19
    know, oh, don't send me to prison, I've got to
20
    take care of my kids, I've got to take care of my
21
    sick mother. I mean we hear this all the time,
22
    you know, so it's a common request.
23
                        Well, I understand, your
              MR. VAN:
24
            If you have any questions about this
25
    situation, I'm more than happy to answer any one
```

```
1
    you've got.
2
              THE COURT: Mr. Schiess, do you have
3
    any questions you want to propound? I know he's
4
    not under oath, but as one attorney to another.
              MR. VAN:
5
                         I think that he would prefer
6
    that I get up there and have an open season,
7
    but. --
              MR. SCHIESS:
8
                             Well, since I've known
9
    Mr. Van for about twenty years, I would relish
10
    the opportunity, but there's nothing relevant to
11
    this proceeding, and I have a lot of respect when
12
    I say that. I do have just an observation to
13
    make about that to follow-up and kind of
14
    interject with a counter argument if I may.
15
                      You know, while everybody has a
16
    unique situation and the Court certainly has to
17
    take individual situations and facts into
18
    account, the Court still has to look at a
19
    parenting situation and there have been -- I'm
20
    thinking of a specific instance several months
21
    ago in front of Judge Dawson where a similar
22
    situation arose where there was a mid-30's
23
    African female single mom with a kid who was
24
    teen-aged and a kid in a single digit age, had no
25
    parent.
```

```
And she was still in a mortgage
1
2
    fraud case with a sentence of 24 months and not
3
    knowing where the kid was going to have to go,
4
    her parents lived in Costa Rica and the judge
5
    said, you're going to have to deal with that
6
    issue.
7
                      But, you know, I understand that
8
    you need to take into account individual factors,
9
    and there are four kids here and they're little
10
    kids who are presently being traumatized by a
11
    situation not of Mr. Enloe's doings, although the
12
    crime and the consequence are Mr. Enloe's doing,
13
    so I defer to you.
14
              THE COURT: All right.
15
              MR. SCHIESS: And if you are going to
16
    lower the sentence, if you're contemplating that,
17
    if you were to lower it three points, you would
18
    take it to the top of Zone B which would give you
19
    the option of sentencing him to home confinement
20
    for eight to fourteen months and then other
21
    conditions that probation can explain.
22
              THE COURT: All right.
23
              MR. SCHIESS: But I don't think you
24
    need to go down four levels.
25
              MR. VAN: Your Honor, do you have
```

```
1
    anything else of me?
2
              THE COURT: No, I don't. Thank you,
3
    Mr. Van.
              Thank you for your time.
4
              MR. VAN: All right, thank you.
5
              MR. DRASKOVICH: Just briefly, and I
6
    can appreciate Mr. Schiess's comments. There is,
7
    however, a fundamental difference between a
8
    single parent, i.e., a parent who has a child and
9
    raises that child alone versus children who have
10
    two parents and then are ultimately abandoned by
11
    one. I mean both of them are very tragic
12
    situations, however, I would submit to the Court
13
    that the latter, their actually being abandoned
14
    by mom, is worse.
15
                      I'd also submit to the Court
16
    that it's fairly extraordinary to have four
17
    children from nine under. I mean it's -- I don't
18
    know very many people that have four kids under
19
    the age of ten. I don't know of a single
20
    situation. I've never heard of a single
    situation where a mother of four children, two to
21
22
    nine, leaves, just up and leaves and doesn't want
23
    to see them anymore. So I would urge the Court
24
    to take that into consideration in determining
25
    whether or not this is an extraordinary
```

```
1
    situation.
2
                      Additionally, and I can
3
    appreciate that downward departures generally in
4
    all situations where the defendant is an
5
    irreplaceable caretaker of children and the
6
    extent of the departure appropriately serves to
7
    protect those family members from the impact of
8
    the defendant's prolonged incarceration, in this
9
    case fifteen months is an eternity to a
10
    two-year-old or to a five-year-old or to a
11
    seven-year-old.
12
                      I remember the times back when
13
    summer break of three months was a lifetime in
14
    and of itself. Based upon the very tender age of
15
    these children, and there are special
16
    circumstances in this case, I would urge the
17
    Court to take three points and sentence him to
18
    home confinement and whatever other conditions
19
    that the Court sees fit. With that, I'll submit
20
    it.
              THE COURT: All right, and do you want
21
22
    to add anything, Mr. Franzen? I'll give you a
23
    chance.
24
              PROBATION OFFICER: I think I should,
25
    your Honor, for clarification sake. This is a
```

```
case that the quidelines that apply to this case
1
2
    are the 2009 quidelines, and at that time it
    would be a four level additional departure down
3
4
    to reach the top of Zone B, however, because of
5
    the Count of conviction, probation is excluded
6
    from -- by statute probation cannot be imposed.
7
    However, the Court can impose a sentence of one
8
    month custody with a term of supervised release
9
    to follow as long as one month is fulfilled by
10
    imprisonment according to the guidelines in Zone
11
    в.
12
              MR. DRASKOVICH: The plot thickens.
                                                     Ιf
13
    the Court were to do that, I think it would be
14
    much easier and it would be much more suitable.
15
    Perhaps they could deal with it for a month and
16
    split the kids up for just a month while he
17
    served a period of incarceration for a month
18
    versus fifteen.
19
              THE COURT: All right, okay.
                                             That's
20
    why I get the big bucks. All right, anything
21
    else from anybody?
22
                      Okay. And, Mr. Enloe, did you
23
    want to add anything? I'll give you a chance if
24
    you want to add anything.
25
              THE DEFENDANT: I just, you know, I
```

```
1
    want to make it right as much as I can, and it
2
    would be much easier to do so if I'm not
3
    incarcerated.
4
              THE COURT: All right.
5
                      All right, having heard
6
    statements of counsel for the government and
7
    counsel for the defendant and having heard from
    Mr. Van, as I noted a licensed attorney in Nevada
8
9
    and I think in Utah as well, is that correct,
10
    Mike?
11
                         That's correct, your Honor.
              MR. VAN:
12
              THE COURT: And from a personal note,
13
    I've litigated against Mr. Van I'm sure on many
14
    occasions, so I know Mr. Van well. Having heard
    the defendant's remarks and Mr. Van's remark,
15
16
    having read the presentence report submitted by
17
    Probation Department, considering its contents
18
    and the contents of the plea agreement, the Court
19
    hereby accepts the terms of the plea agreement
20
    and will embody those terms in the sentence
21
    provided. Of course, overriding everything are
22
    the factors set forth in 18 USC, Section 3553(a).
23
                      As I said, this is a fairly
24
    common request, but there are a number of factors
25
    here that I think maybe take it out of the
```

```
mainstream, if you will, of the request. One is
1
2
    the age of the children. You say fifteen months
    is a lifetime to a child, and if you look at the
3
4
    age if you're two-years-old, twenty-four-months
5
    old, it's like, you know, that's two-thirds of
    your life. So it's like if you're somebody who's
6
7
    sixty-years-old, then it's forty years.
8
                     And depending on the child's
9
    perception or point of view, fifteen months is a
10
    lot longer to a child than it is to one of us
11
    because it's a much larger -- just like for a
12
    child a month is a long time, you know, if you're
13
    fifty-years-old, it's, you know, one thirty-sixth
14
    of your life. And if you're, you know,
15
    sixty-years-old, a month is -- I can't give you
    arithmetic, but it's infinitesimal, you know, so
16
17
    the time is just much different to a child and
18
    it's important to have a parent there.
19
                     The other thing is that your
20
    involvement was somewhat peripheral.
    committed a crime and you're going to have to pay
21
22
    the penalty for that, but I'm leery or concerned,
23
    too, about your children paying. They're going
24
    to pay a price for having a felon as a father,
25
    and I'm concerned about adding to that. It's a
```

```
unique situation with your wife, an unfortunate
1
2
    situation.
3
                      So you moved for a downward
4
    departure for substantial assistance, is that
5
    correct, so I have to go down to what level now
6
    to give him one month incarceration?
              PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, it
7
8
    would take it down to level ten which would be
9
    Zone B in the 2009 guideline range.
              THE COURT: All right, so I'll depart
10
11
    down to level ten, one month, and what would be
12
    the supervised release then? Three years?
13
              PROBATION OFFICER: We would still
14
    recommend five years, your Honor, with the
15
    conditions we impose as well as a condition
16
    that --
17
              THE COURT: -- location monitoring?
18
              PROBATION OFFICER: Location monitoring
19
    for the remainder of the six months which would
    be a five-months' term of home detention.
20
21
              THE COURT: All right. Do you
22
    understand that, Mr. Enloe?
23
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               I don't know.
24
              THE COURT: And Mr. Draskovich can
25
    explain it to you.
```

```
1
              THE DEFENDANT: I don't have the full
2
    understanding of home detention. I mean what for
3
    work?
4
              MR. DRASKOVICH: You can work and go to
5
    the grocery store.
6
              THE COURT: Do you understand?
7
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8
              THE COURT: All right.
9
                      So you are hereby committed to
10
    the Bureau of Prisons for a term of one month to
11
    be followed by five years of supervised release,
12
    and the five years would include five months of
13
    home confinement with electronic monitoring.
14
    that sentence is within the guideline range
15
    determined by the Court and the Court finds no
16
    reason to depart.
17
                      A mandatory penalty assessment
18
    of $100 is required by statute and due
19
    immediately. Restitution, how long do you need
20
    to defer restitution?
              MR. SCHIESS: Your Honor, I need to
21
22
    issue a couple more subpoenas so I would imagine
23
    if we could do it for forty-five days.
24
              THE COURT: All right, and then we
25
    can't enter the judgment then until we get the
```

```
restitution, is that right, David? Or can we do
1
2
    an amended judgment?
              THE CLERK: We can do an amended
3
4
    judgment and leave out the restitution.
5
              THE COURT: And just say restitution to
6
    be determined at a later date.
7
              MR. SCHIESS: Yes, and then I also have
8
    the order of forfeiture to address before the
9
    Court completes.
10
              THE COURT: Oh, yeah, I though I saw
11
    that. I'll get to that in just a minute.
12
                      So the restitution will be
13
    determined at a later date and that will be --
14
    when will that be, David?
15
              THE CLERK:
                           How many days out?
16
              THE COURT: Forty-five he said, and if
17
    you need more, let me know and we can reset this.
18
                           Friday, March 1st at 10:00.
              THE CLERK:
19
              THE COURT: Friday, March 1st at 10:00.
              MR. SCHIESS: Your Honor, I will be out
20
21
    of the district on March 1st, could we do it the
22
    following week?
23
              THE COURT:
                           Sure, and I've got to be at
24
    the Ninth Circuit, is that when I go to the Ninth
25
    Circuit?
```

```
1
              THE CLERK: You'll be gone, your Honor,
2
    March 5th and March 6th which is Tuesday and
3
    Wednesday.
4
              THE COURT: But then I'm out the rest
5
    of the week, too. It's the Ninth Circuit so
6
    let's do it in two weeks then. We'll just make
7
    it sixty days.
8
              THE CLERK: Friday, March 15th at
9
    10:00.
10
              THE COURT: Friday, March 15, at 10:00
11
    a.m. for the restitution which will be jointly
12
    and severally with related case numbers 10-CR-300
13
    and 10 - 304.
14
              MR. SCHIESS: Before we do that, may I
15
    with respect to the related cases, what I'd like
    to do is submit to the Court the cases of the
16
17
    co-defendants on those four transactions and I
18
    can do that later today in writing.
19
              THE COURT: Oh, all right, that's fine,
20
    and then one of the reasons why is one of them is
21
    Judge Reed's which is probably been reassigned to
22
    me.
23
              MR. SCHIESS: It's probably going to be
24
    more than that maybe because the conspiracy
25
    involved numerous properties and so if I can --
```

```
THE COURT: Well, then let's just say
1
2
    restitution will be jointly and severally with
3
    the related case numbers.
4
              MR. SCHIESS: But with those related to
5
    these fraudulent transactions of these properties
    and I will identify those as close as I can
6
7
    today.
8
              THE COURT: All right.
9
                      Due to the defendant's financial
10
    situation the fine is being waived. Supervised
11
    release will be imposed for a term of five years.
12
    While on supervised release, the defendant shall
13
    comply with the standard conditions of
14
    supervision recommended by the Sentencing
15
    Commission and the following mandatory conditions
16
    required by statute: First, you shall not commit
17
    another federal, state, or local crime during the
18
    term of supervision.
19
                      Second, you shall not possess
20
    illegal controlled substances. Understand,
21
    please, revocation of supervision is mandatory
22
    for possession of illegal controlled substances.
23
    And, third, you shall refrain from any unlawful
24
    use of a controlled substance and shall submit to
25
    one drug test within fifteen days of the
```

```
commencement of supervision to be followed by at
1
2
    least two periodic drug tests thereafter not to
3
    exceed 104 drug tests annually and, once again,
4
    revocation is mandatory for refusal to comply.
5
    Number four, you shall submit to DNA collection
6
    and analysis as directed by the probation
7
    officer.
8
                      In addition, the following
9
    special conditions are imposed: Number one,
10
    possession of weapons, you shall not possess,
11
    have under your control, or have access to, any
12
    firearm, explosive device, or other dangerous
13
    weapon as defined by federal, state, or local
14
    law.
15
                      Number two, warrantless search,
16
    you shall submit to the search of your person,
17
    property, residence, place of business, and
18
    automobile under your control, to a search
19
    conducted by the United States Probation Officer,
20
    at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner
21
    based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or
22
    evidence of a violation of a condition of
23
    supervision. Failure to submit to a search may
24
    be grounds for a revocation of supervision, and
25
    the defendant shall inform any other occupant
```

```
1
    that the premises are subject to a search
2
    pursuant to this condition.
3
                      Number three, debt obligations,
4
    you shall be prohibited from incurring new credit
5
    charges, opening additional lines of credit, or
6
    negotiating or consummating any financial
    contracts without the approval of the probation
7
8
              Number four, access to financial
    officer.
9
    information, you shall provide the probation
10
    officer access to any requested financial
11
    information, including personal income tax
12
    returns, authorization for release of credit
13
    information, and any other business financial
14
    information in which you have a control or
15
    interest.
16
                      Number five, financial
17
    polygraph/truth verification testing, you shall
18
    submit to polygraph/truth verification testing as
19
    directed by the probation officer to ensure
20
    compliance with your financial condition. Number
21
    six, employment restriction, you shall be
    restricted from engaging in employment,
22
23
    consulting, or any association with any mortgage,
24
    real estate or financial lending or investment
25
    business during the term of supervision.
```

```
Number seven, home confinement with
1
2
    location monitoring, you shall be confined to
3
    home confinement with electronic monitoring, if
4
    available, for a period of five months and you
5
    shall pay a hundred percent of the costs of
6
    electronic monitoring service.
7
                      Do you understand all of that,
8
    sir?
9
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
10
              THE COURT: Do you have a written copy
11
    of those conditions?
12
              PROBATION OFFICER: I do, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: All right, Mr. Enloe, in
14
    your plea agreement, you've waived your rights to
15
    appeal your conviction and sentence,
16
    nevertheless, there may be certain appellate
17
    right that cannot be waived. If you do desire to
18
    appeal your conviction and sentence, you must
19
    file a notice of appeal with this court within
20
    fourteen days from today's date.
21
                      In the event you cannot afford
22
    to pay the costs on appeal, you may request
23
    permission to proceed in forma pauperis. If you
24
    require the services of an attorney to assist you
25
    on the appeal and cannot afford to pay an
```

```
1
    attorney, one will be appointed to represent you
2
    at no cost to yourself but at government expense.
3
                      And if you require any
4
    transcripts of any proceedings in order to
5
    prosecute your appeal and cannot afford to pay
    for those transcripts, they also will be provided
6
7
    at government expense, do you understand all of
8
    that?
9
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
10
              THE COURT: Yes?
11
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12
              THE COURT: All right, any objection,
13
    Mr. Draskovich, if I sign the order of
14
    forfeiture?
15
              MR. DRASKOVICH:
                                No.
16
              THE COURT:
                           What's today, the 17th?
17
              THE CLERK:
                           The 18th, your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Oh, 18th, I'm a day behind.
19
    (Signing.)
20
              MR. SCHIESS: And, your Honor, will
21
    that order be attached to the judgment?
22
              THE COURT: Yes, sir, it will be.
23
              MR. SCHIESS:
                             Thank you.
24
              THE COURT: The order of forfeiture
25
    will be attached to the judgment, and then we'll
```

```
do an amended judgment with the restitution.
1
2
              MR. SCHIESS:
                             In thinking about that,
    when we do the order, the amended judgment, it's
3
4
    probably appropriate at that time for me to give
5
    you the related case numbers because then I'll
    know who many of the related people are and I
6
7
    will know whether I can prove it up on all four
8
    of them and it will be simpler to do it then.
9
              THE COURT: All right, and we'll do
    that then in thirty days.
10
11
                             Sixty days.
              MR. SCHIESS:
12
              MR. DRASKOVICH: Can I have the Court's
13
    indulgence for a moment?
14
              THE COURT:
                           Take a moment. Sure, take
15
    a moment.
16
           (Attorney-client conference held.)
17
              MR. DRASKOVICH: Your Honor, as to the
18
    condition that he not be allowed to work in any
19
    financial endeavors, would the Court considering
20
    amending that to only with the approval of
21
    probation because that's his area of work and
22
    outside of these four issues or these four
23
    transactions that Mr. Schiess had previously
24
    addressed, this is where he's continued to work
25
    and there's been no problem.
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                           Then we will do that only
2
    with the approval of the probation officer.
3
              MR. DRASKOVICH: Thank you.
4
              THE COURT: Number six, only with the
5
    approval of the probation officer.
6
                      All right, you got that, Mr.
7
    Franzen?
              PROBATION OFFICER: I do, your Honor.
8
9
    Would you like me to add that into the
10
    presentence report, the Court ordered revision?
11
              THE COURT: Yes, sir, if you would,
12
    just so that's complete as well.
13
              PROBATION OFFICER: Okay.
14
              THE COURT: All right, anything else
15
    then?
16
              MR. DRASKOVICH: As far as the
17
    self-surrender date, I would ask that the Court
18
    set the self-surrender date in July. His kids
19
    are in school through June and it will be far
20
    easier because his respective family members are
21
    going to try to get the kids to their schools and
22
    it will be less destructive in their lives.
23
              THE COURT: I mean July 1st or after
24
    the Fourth of July weekend, when is the Fourth of
25
    July this year?
```

```
1
              MR. DRASKOVICH: On a Thursday, your
2
    Honor.
3
              THE COURT: So let's do it the
4
    following Monday, how's that?
5
              MR. DRASKOVICH:
                              Thank you very much.
                           What would that be?
6
              THE COURT:
7
              THE CLERK: Monday, July 8th --
              THE COURT: Monday, July 8th.
8
9
              THE CLERK: -- 2013, before noon.
              MR. DRASKOVICH: And that will be here
10
11
    at this marshal's office or will it be --
12
              THE COURT: I'm not sure what the
13
    Bureau of Prisons will designate. I was going to
14
    say, Mr. Enloe, the Bureau of Prisons will
15
    designate your facility probably about a month,
16
    or two weeks to a month, before that date and you
17
    have to show up there before noon on July 8th, do
18
    you understand?
19
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
              THE COURT: If you aren't there at noon
21
    straight up, they assume you've absconded and
22
    they release the hounds. So be there, you know,
    ten minutes early and, otherwise, I just assume
23
24
    it would be here, but I think they will designate
25
    someplace, all right?
```

```
1
                MR. DRASKOVICH: All right, thank you
2
    very much.
 3
                THE COURT: Thank you. We will be in
 4
    recess.
 5
 6
            (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
    I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, the
14
15
     foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
     stenographically reported proceedings held in the
16
    above-entitled matter.
17
                                       /s/ Joy Garner
JOY GARNER, CCR 275
18
    Date: July 23, 2013
                                       U.S. Court Reporter
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                        JOY GARNER, CCR 275 —
```